VIA A I R (Specify Air or Sea Pouch)		dispatch no. <u>OSSA-5456</u>
-	School of the second of the se	
TO Chief, WE	Di	ATE <u>18 December 1956</u>
FROM Chief of Station,		INFO: Chief, SR COPY ROUTING
SUBJECT SPECIFIC	nel/REDWOOD/AECOB	#2 2 m/att #3 B/2
REFERENCE: STOC-407		#4 #5
ACTION REQUESTED: A. Headquarters evaluation of the enclosed information.  B comparison of this information with that derived fro debriefing of TILBURY/1.  C. Technical analysis of the Chief, SR copy of Enclosure 1 as per paragraph 3 below.		
1. On 17 December 1956, a communication was received from TILBURY which		

- apprised us of TILBURY/1's safe arrival and Reference was dispatched.

  apprised anticipates having one meeting with TILBURY prior to Christmas and any amplifying information in re TILBURY/1's dispatch and arrival will be transmitted. Reference notes that paragraph 5 of OSSA-5374 of 30 November should be corrected; by this we meant that TILBURY/1's dispatch date is shown in paragraph 5 as 21 November and his arrival date in the communication received today is 20 November. We assume that TILBURY was misinformed on the original date by the TIEBARS but will check.
- 3. You will note that the only copy of this information which we received on this occasion, and on the occasion of OSSA-5374, is a carbon copy. Our assumption is that the original is given to the TIEBARS. Would it be possible for KURIOT to analyze the carbon copy which we received in this case (forwarded herewith as the Chief, SR copy) and that of OSSA-5374 to determine if this copy has also had a carbon under it in order to make



FORM NO. 51-28 A

## Secret

OSSA-5456 18 Dec 56 page 2

a second copy? With the TILBURY/1 case as complicated as it is, we would be interested to know if TILBURY makes a second copy for himself (as he would probably claim) or for still another party (as the case may be).

17 December 1956

Enclosure:
TILBURY notes

Distribution:
3-Headquarters w/l encl.
2-SR w/l encl.
2- \_\_\_ w/l encl.